LEWIS & CLARK COLLEGE MEETING NOTES

Project: Residence Halls Expansion

Meeting
Date: Sept

Wednesday, September 14, 2005

14, 2005

Tom Hochstettler, Jane Atkinson, Jon Eldridge, Sandi Bottemiller, Richard Bettega, Dan Terrio, Jerusha Detweiler-Bedell, Michael

Sestric, Denis Ransmeier, Phil

Akers, Lauren Senkyr

Mark Foster, ZGF, Eric Bode, Walker Macy Distribution: File: Residence Halls Ph 2

CP Web site

Location: Howard 303

Prepared

By:

Present:

Michael Sestric

Report Date: Wednesday September 14, 2005

These notes and are posted on the Campus Planning web page at: http://www.lclark.edu/dept/planning/reshallsphase2.html

Purpose of Meeting:

Brief project leaders on preliminary cost estimates for prototype buildings and alternative site development schemes. Discuss development options, and determine next steps.

1. Review of cost estimating assumptions

Assumptions for cost estimating of the various models were drawn from Phase 1 of the Residence Hall Expansion: East, West, and Roberts Halls as modified with input from Jon Eldridge, Richard Bettega, Dan Terrio, Bill Curtin and Michael Sestric on Aug 30, 2005.

- 2. Review Site Development diagrams
 - i. Housing models
 - i. Type A-1, "8-Bed apartment type": a modified version of our existing 4 bed suite but with 8 beds
 - ii. Type A-2, "8-Bed apartment type": a modified version of our existing 4 bed suite but with 8 beds, more compact than type A-1
 - iii. Type C-2, "18-bed Little House"
 - ii. Site development opportunities
 - i. Akin- Lawn between Akin and Stewart-Odell
 - ii. Facilities- current facilities site
 - iii. South Campus- NE portion of South Campus
 - iv. Templeton- The parking lot and adjacent land immediately south of Templeton
- 3. Review Cost Estimate Models

CAMPUS PLANNING

- a) Cost estimate models provided were ZGF and Architectural Cost Consultants, LLC
 - i. No cost estimate plan proposed meets the 200 bed goal of approximately \$65,000 per bed total project.
 - ii. ZGF will revise and edit the East, West, and Roberts cost assumptions used in the cost models and recommend areas where money can be saved.

4. Renovation options in existing residence halls

- a. There exists in Odell, Copeland, and potentially other existing dorms, the opportunity for renovation of classroom/meeting spaces in the basements for use as residential units.
 - i. Such renovation could help us meet our 200 bed goal while making a smaller footprint.
 - ii. Would these new units succeed in achieving the goal of providing more upper class housing? Residence Life stated that the campus currently has the capacity to house all freshmen and sophomores if no upperclassmen lived in the traditional residence halls (all but the apartments).
- iii. The current feasibility study does not include the scope necessary to prepare a full scale cost estimation for these potential renovations. However Campus Planning, Residence Life and Facilities Services will walk through and prepare a preliminary assessment of renovation opportunities.

5. Next Steps

- a. Revise East, West, Roberts cost assumptions and adjust the Phase 2 estimate accordingly.
- b. Next meeting to review revised cost parameters and discuss a format for a final housing report to the board September 28, 2005
- c. Meet in October before board meeting
- d. Campus Planning to provide draft outline for Board report