



Project: Lewis & Clark Templeton Student Center
Subject: Planning Committee Meeting
Workshop #1
Attendance: Michael Sestric, Brodie Bain, Eileen McHugh, Matt Hastings/Bargreen Ellison, Jeff Rott/ Bon Appetite Food Services, Khai East, Robbie Fung, Denise King, Michael Sestric, Michael Ford, Sherron Stonecypher, Ian Evans, Ghazal Sharif, Jeff Marshall, Kate Hilburger.
Distribution: File; Michael Sestric, David Lageson
Attachments:

Project #: 0431100
Date/Time: June 17, 2004
Location: Lewis & Clark
Submitted by: Eileen McHugh/Brodie Bain
Meeting No. 3

Michael, Brodie

Introduced meeting participants and discussed project process. Current task consists of Review of Existing Conditions to be summarized in a memo on or around July 7th.

Brodie

Reviewed Project Goals List (attached) summarized from previous meeting and project documents. Discussion focused on the four most important goals, specifically:

1. Solve Circulation
2. Create Good Public Spaces
3. Give Students a Home
4. Solve Service area issues

A copy of the L&C college mission statement was requested.

Acknowledged that Food Service is a big piece of what happens in building and Food Service options will impact the range of alternatives for the building's renovation. From the morning meeting it was summarized that:

1. Food Service needs to modernize and involves some expansion of the servery into existing dining space.
2. Meal Plan concepts will be affected and require discussion
3. Trail Room location and combined dining are important part of space planning examination

Reviewed project assumptions and explained graphic. (attached)

- In general bathrooms, mechanical and other building support will stay

Mithun, Inc.

Pier 56, 1201 Alaskan Way, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98101 TEL (206) 623-3344 FAX (206) 623-7005

www.mithun.com

- Health Services and Bookstore will not move
- Mailroom would not move unless a good design idea proposes a strong enough reason.
- Improved connection to outdoors needs to be studied

Brodie, Michael

In long run building will be replaced. Options will be generated to evaluate wide range of renovation approaches.

Food Service Options discussed in the morning meeting were reviewed.

1. Reconfigure Trail Room
 - Have seating area more multipurpose to allow for other programming
 - Modernize facility
 - Possible vertical connection to dining area
2. Move Trail Room upstairs but separate from Dining
 - STAM space location
 - Residential Life location with circulation adjacent to STAM
 - Examine other options
3. Consolidate Trail Room with Dining
 - Evaluate meal plan and space planning options that would make this possible (i.e. declining balance card system)
4. Background information:
 - Capacity of Fields Dining Room approx 450 people - only room this size on campus.
 - Capacity of STAM approx 200-225 people - only room this size on campus and highly used.
 - Capacity of Trail Room approx 115 – not easily programmed due to configuration of space.

Michael, Brodie, Eileen, Khai, Robbie, Jeff, Denise, Michael Ford, Sherron, Ian, Ghazal, Jeff Marshall, Kate.

Food Service Options discussed included:

1. Trail Room stays on lower level,
 - reconfigured as programmable space and modernize food service facility.
 - Capacity issues: Currently seat to 80% capacity. If improved would exceed capacity because more people would come. Need to incorporate increased seating in planning.
 - Maggie's and Dovecote do not take place of Trail Room
2. STAM and Trail Room – Could they share the same space? Or could Trail Room be reconfigured to be more programmable? Issue with having multipurpose spaces – confusing to students
3. Fields Dining Hall and Trail Room combining or connecting.
 - Move kitchen back into Residential Life area and relocate Res. Life.
 - Kitchen expand into STAM and STAM move into kitchen
 - Expanded Servery in space now atrium to accommodate better circulation and allow for larger required space needed for retail environment?
4. Keep status quo and modernize.
5. Terrace for eating outside – Bookstore roof is a possibility

Food Service Summary: Importance of eating together to improve sense of community and to improve eating environment – examine options that facilitate this.

Second Floor – Student public space concepts and Program Elements

1. *Co-op becomes living room of Student center*
 - Could Student Co-op be like the Rusty Nail?
 - Student Co-op concept includes a café, performance and music component.
2. *ASLC – Student Government to become more visible*
 - Need 2 private rooms for Judicial Sessions. Could these be common conference rooms shared by other groups?
 - Swap ASLC Government offices and Thayer?
3. *Student Offices – Can have general work area used by all student groups*
 - Student groups need more access to light/air
 - Student groups don't all need offices – could inhabit cubicles and share open work space/facilities. Would promote interaction
 - Student suite – each group could see what others are doing or what posters they are making. Work area: phones/fax/copying, desk cubicles, computers, poster-making
 - Events Office and Dean of Students activities next to Student Offices/Suites
 - Trail Room could become student activities open office area
 - Evaluate how much space is changing for student groups and what space they have now
 - Evaluate quantity of student spaces that require "safe" "closed" or "private" space. Unisex, Black Student Union, Women's Group, other?
4. *Atrium Concepts*
 - Enclose space with skylight
 - Vertical circulation
 - Incorporate into new entry sequence
 - Student groups organized around center space – enclose atrium
 - Leave as is but remove adjacent rooms to open up to building
5. *Reorganization to capture space*
 - Possible list of groups that do not rely on student traffic: Student Media, Student Radio Station – how difficult? Student Publications?
 - Thayer moves out of building or other location
 - Instructional function moves out, open computing lab stays but could be moved. Consider in addition to or in place of email stations, jacks for hook up or wireless in student lounge, co-op or other areas.
 - Minimum Scenario: rework spaces in existing allocation and connect uses that make sense.

Student Spaces Summary: – if only ½ of computer lab moves out and take out "Debutant Stair", that does not give you a large amount of additional space to reorganize.

Storage:

- Easier to manage a larger storage space and incorporate some type of storage system
- Consolidate existing storage in rework of 2nd Floor.

Circulation:

- Need to clarify main entries. Student traffic comes from North, South, and West.
- When you enter on upper floor all you see is an empty meeting room and a dining hall – most need to be directed downstairs.

- Study possibility of secondary entrance from south parking.
- Vertical Circulation: Atrium houses new vertical circulation piece?
- Give existing west entry to Dining Hall back to Bon Appetite for offices and create new lobby that connects to atrium, replaces awkward stair.
- Northeast entrance between Trail Room and Council Chambers confusing – make better entrance. Possible location of additional vertical circulation with new servery area (if kitchen moves back into Res. Life).
- Celebrate entrances.
- New separate person-elevator connected to a new vertical circulation element?

Potential \$5 million dollar budget.

Events needs:

- STAM-like space
- Fields-size space
- Thayer-size space
- Spaces need windows, attractive finishes, can accommodate feeding groups of people, A/V connections, divisible

Action List:

Next meeting July 22nd, 11-3pm – Review Preliminary Concepts

Mithun to examine SF requirements and program for second floor – review Excel spreadsheet with room names and SF

Mithun to send PDF of Goals and Assumptions to Michael

Mithun to coordinate subconsultants for systems reviews (mechanical, electrical, structural, food) to be completed by July 7, 2004