

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	i
<u>TABLE OF AUTHORITIES</u>	ii, iii
<u>STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED</u>	1
<u>FACTUAL BACKGROUND</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT</u>	2
<u>ARGUMENT</u>	3
I. CHICKENS ARE ANIMALS UNDER THE JURISDICITON OF THE TWENTY-EIGHT HOUR LAW.....	3
a. <u>Chickens are “animals” covered by the Twenty-Eight Hour Law under the ordinary, contemporary, and common meaning of the word</u>	3
i. The common contemporary definition of animal included chickens.....	4
ii. Contemporary laws show the legal definition of animal in 1873 included chickens.....	5
iii. Prior interpretations by other courts and administrative agencies do not exclude chickens from the term “animals.”.....	7
b. <u>The Legislative History of the Twenty-Eight Hour Law shows that chicken must be considered an “animal” in order to effectuate the purposes of the statute</u>	8
i. Chickens must be included to further the humane purpose of the statute.....	9
ii. Chickens must be included to protect human health.....	9
iii. The Twenty-Eight Hour Law was intended to evolve to cover all “other animals” transported long distances.....	10
II. THE FEDERAL TWENTY-EIGHT HOUR LAW PREEMPTS THE FLORIDINA ANTI-CRUELTY STATUTE AS APPLIED TO APPELLANT.....	12
a. <u>The Floridina Cruelty to Animals Law is preempted as applied to interstate animal transport because Congress intended to occupy the field</u>	13
i. This court should apply a presumption in favor of preemption on review.....	13
ii. The Twenty-Eight Hour Law comprehensively occupies the field of interstate animal transport, leaving no room for supplementary state legislation.....	15
iii. The federal interest in interstate animal transport is so dominant as to exclude supplementary state regulation.....	18
b. <u>The Floridina Cruelty to Animals Law is an obstacle to federal objectives</u>	19
<u>CONCLUSION</u>	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Supreme Court Cases

<i>Bethlehem Steel v. New York State Labor Rltns. Brd.</i> , 330 U.S. 767 (1947).....	15, 16
<i>Campbell v. Hussey</i> , 368 U.S. 297 (1962).....	15, 16
<i>Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc.</i> , 505 U.S. 504 (1992).....	12, 17
<i>Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council</i> , 530 U.S. 363 (2000).....	12, 19, 20
<i>Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Nelson</i> , 350 U.S. 497 (1956).....	16, 18
<i>Garcia v. U.S.</i> , 469 U.S. 70, 76 n.3 (1984).....	8
<i>Hillsborough County v. Automated Medical Labs</i> , 471 U.S. 707 (1985).....	12, 17
<i>Hines v. Davidowitz</i> , 312 U.S. 52 (1941).....	<i>Ibid.</i>
<i>Lamie v. U.S. Trustee</i> , 540 U.S. 526 (2004).....	3
<i>Perrin v. U.S.</i> , 444 U.S. 37 (1979).....	4, 5
<i>Rice v. Santa Fe Elevator Corp.</i> , 331 U.S. 218 (1947).....	<i>Ibid.</i>
<i>Savage v. Jones</i> , 225 U.S. 501 (1912).....	19
<i>Taylor v. U.S.</i> , 495 U.S. 575 (1990).....	9
<i>U.S. v. Locke</i> , 529 U.S. 89 (2000).....	14, 20

Federal Courts of Appeals

<i>In re Koenig Sporting Goods, Inc.</i> , 203 F.3d 986, 988 (6th Cir. 2000).....	3
<i>Molski v. M.J. Cable, Inc.</i> , 481 F.3d 724, 732 (9 th Cir. 2007).....	5
<i>U.S. v. Kerley</i> , 544 F.3d 172 (2nd Cir. 2008).....	13

Federal District Courts

<i>Levine v. Connor</i> , 540 F.Supp.2d. 1113 (N.D. Cal. 2008).....	8
---------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Federal Constitutional Provisions and Statutes

U.S. Const. Art. I, sec. 8, cl. 3.....	14
U.S. Const. Art. VI, cl. 2	12
7 U.S.C. § 1901.....	8
49 U.S.C. § 80502.....	<i>Ibid.</i>
Revision of Title 49, Pub. L. No. 103-272, (1994).....	4

Federal Regulations

9 C.F.R. § 89.1(a).....	8
-------------------------	---

Legislative History

H.R. Rep. No. 103-180, at 1 (1994).....	4
Cong. Globe, 42 nd Cong., 2d Sess. 2367, 4226-4236 (1873).....	<i>Ibid.</i>
Federalist Papers Nos. 44, 12, 64 (1788).....	14

State Court Cases

<i>Chesapeake & O. Ry. Co. v. American Exch. Bank</i> , 23 S.E. 935, 937 (S.Ct. of Appeals of Virginia, 1896).....	<i>Ibid.</i>
<i>Clay v. NY Cent. R. Co.</i> , 231 N.Y.S. 424, 428 (N.Y.A.D. 3 rd Dept, 1928).....	7, 8
<i>Commonwealth v. Barr</i> , 1916 W.L. 3671, *1 (Pa. Quar. Sess. 1916).....	6
<i>Commonwealth v. Turner</i> , 14 N.E. 130, 132 (Mass. 1887).....	6
<i>Louisville & N.R. Co. v. Stiles, Gaddie & Stiles</i> , 133 Ky. 786 (Ky. 1909).....	20
<i>Lynn v. Mellon</i> , 24 Ala.App. 144 (Ala. App. 1930).....	20
<i>People v. Southern Pacific Co.</i> , 208 Cal.App.2d 745 (Ca. Ct. App. 1962).....	16

State Statutes

Connecticut - Conn. Gen. Stat. § 2815 (1874).....	5
Floridina - 8 F.R.S. § 620	14
Massachusetts - Mass. Gen. L. ch. 344, §§ 1, 3 (1869).....	6
Maryland General Laws: Cruelty to Animals, 1890 Md. Laws ch. 198 § 46C (1890).....	6
Nebraska - Offenses Related to Domestic Animals, Neb. Stat. ch. 10 §§ 63-82 (1887)....	6
New Hampshire General Laws of 1878: Trespasses, Malicious Acts, etc., 1878 N.H. Laws 281, §§ 26-30.....	5
New Jersey - N.J. Rev. Stat. § 64 (1873); Conn. Gen. Stat. § 2815 (1874).....	5
New York - N.Y. Rev. Stat. ch. 783, § 1 (1867).....	5
Pennsylvania - Act of March 29, 1869, P.L. 22, 18 P.S. §§ 3104-3109.....	6

Books

WILLIAM G. WEBSTER & WILLIAM A. WHEELER, DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (1872).....	4
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Internet Sources

Handbook of Texas Online – Chisholm Trail, http://www.tshaonline.org/handbook/online/articles/CC/ayc2.html (last visited 1/4/09).....	10
The National Chicken Council: US Chicken Industry History, http://www.nationalchicken council.com/aboutIndustry/detail.cfm?id=16 (last visited Dec. 28th, (2008).....	11
USDA, Pork Fact Sheet, http://www.fsis.usda.gov/FactSheets/Pork_From_Farm_to_Table/index.asp (last visited 1/4/09).....	10
Wyoming Tales and Trails – Sheep Photos, http://www.wyomingtalesandtrails.com/sheep.html (last visited 1/4/09).....	10