

2 #3 - We should make sure not unwittingly creating investment company per § 351(e). 80% test. Cash counts against you.

2

Upon contribution of the property, No gain or loss is recognized to RST or to any of the partners under § 721.

The partner gets a transferred basis under § 722, and the partnership gets an inside basis under § 723.

The initial balance sheet is:

Assets			Liab		
	A.B.	BK Val		AB	BK Val.
Cash	150,000	150,000	Equity (Partners' capital)		
Greenacre	70,000	50,000	R	100,000	100,000
Bigco	115,000	100,000	S	120,000	100,000
			T	115,000	100,000
	<u>335,000</u>	<u>300,000</u>		<u>335,000</u>	<u>300,000</u>

2
2

T has a built-in loss under 704(c) of \$15,000, so when Bigco is sold, he must be allocated the first \$15,000 loss. The remaining loss is shared by the other partners, generally according to profit and loss percentages (Here 1/3 each)

AB		BK Val		AB		BK Val	
Cash	235,000	235,000	R	95,000	95,000		
Greenacre	70,000	50,000	S	115,000	95,000		
Bigco	115,000	100,000	T	95,000	95,000		
	<u>305,000</u>	<u>285,000</u>		<u>305,000</u>	<u>285,000</u>		

R & S each have a \$5,000 capital loss (long term).
 T has a \$20,000 LTCL, and their bases adjusted accordingly.

The partners' capital accounts are each reduced by \$5,000 to reflect the book loss from \$100,000 in Bigco to \$85,000.

S has a built-in loss of \$20,000 in Greenacre. But upon sale, it has a 65,000 increase.

Normally land would be a capital asset, but here it is considered inventory, and it is ordinary income if sold within 5 years.

So S will realize a \$20K loss, and then the partners will realize an \$85,000 gain, divided equally.

	AB	BK Val	AB	Cap. Acct.
Cash	350,000	350,000	R $95,000 + 28,333$	116,666
			S $95,000 + 28,333$	116,666
			T $95,000 + 28,333$	116,666
				<u>350,000</u>
	<u>350,000</u>	<u>350,000</u>	<u>370,000</u>	

The inside & outside balances are now mismatched under the traditional method.

And so is my brain! ;)